LINGUISTIC BASIS OF ARTISTIC AND FIGURATIVE EXPRESSION

Utashova Mohinur, Pardaboyeva Yulduz
SamSIFL

Abstract: This article explores the theoretical and linguistic basis of figurative (artistic) language devices widely used in literary discourse. Such expressive means as metaphor, metonymy, symbol, epithet, irony, hyperbole, and personification constitute the core of imaginative language. The study examines their semantic, stylistic, and cognitive functions while also reviewing historical approaches to their interpretation. By integrating classical rhetoric with modern linguistic theories, the paper demonstrates that figurative language is a complex and multi-layered phenomenon that plays a key role in constructing artistic meaning and enhancing textual expressiveness.

Keywords: figurative language, stylistic devices, metaphor, imagery, linguopoetics, artistic discourse, stylistics, symbolism.

Figurative language devices, often referred to as stylistic or rhetorical tools, occupy a central position in literary communication. They enrich speech by introducing imagery, emotional coloring, and aesthetic depth. Unlike literal expressions, figurative constructions allow speakers and writers to communicate ideas in a more vivid, imaginative, and impactful way.

Throughout the evolution of linguistic and literary thought, figurative language has been examined from multiple perspectives. Initially, it was closely associated with rhetoric and oratory traditions, where its primary function was persuasion and eloquence. However, in modern linguistics, its scope has expanded significantly, encompassing fields such as semantics, pragmatics, cognitive linguistics, and discourse analysis.

The significance of figurative language lies in its ability to transcend direct meaning. Through symbolic representation, metaphorical transfer, and stylistic transformation, language becomes capable of expressing abstract concepts, emotional states, and cultural values. Thus, figurative devices are not merely decorative elements; they are fundamental mechanisms of human cognition and communication.

Historical and Linguistic Development of Figurative Language

The study of figurative language originates in ancient philosophical and rhetorical traditions. Early thinkers recognized that language could function beyond literal representation. Classical scholars established the first systematic approaches to analyzing expressive language.Ancient rhetorical theory categorized various tropes and figures of speech, emphasizing their persuasive and aesthetic roles. These early frameworks laid the groundwork for later linguistic investigations. Over time, scholars began to shift their attention from purely rhetorical functions to deeper semantic and cognitive interpretations.

During the 20th century, new linguistic paradigms emerged, offering fresh insights into figurative meaning. Structuralist approaches highlighted the systematic nature of language, while later developments introduced more dynamic interpretations. Researchers argued that figurative expressions are not deviations from normal language but rather essential components of communication.

Modern linguistic theories emphasize the interaction between language, thought, and culture. Figurative language is now viewed as a reflection of conceptual structures and mental

processes. This interdisciplinary perspective has significantly broadened the understanding of how figurative devices function in both literary and everyday contexts.

Theoretical Perspectives on Figurative Language

Semantic and Stylistic Approaches

Traditional semantic theories often treated figurative language as a deviation from literal meaning. According to this view, literal expressions were considered primary, while figurative ones were secondary or ornamental.

In contrast, stylistic approaches regard figurative devices as essential tools for enhancing expressiveness. They emphasize the role of these devices in shaping artistic style, tone, and emotional impact. From this perspective, figurative language is not optional but integral to literary creation.

Cognitive Linguistic Approach

Cognitive linguistics has transformed the understanding of figurative language. It suggests that metaphor and other devices are deeply rooted in human thought processes. According to conceptual metaphor theory, people use familiar experiences to understand abstract concepts.

For example:

1. Life is a journey
2. Ideas are food

These expressions illustrate how abstract thinking relies on concrete experiences. Thus, figurative language reflects underlying cognitive patterns and conceptual structures.

Pragmatic and Discourse Approaches

Pragmatic analysis focuses on how context influences meaning. Figurative expressions often require interpretation based on speaker intention and situational factors. For instance, irony cannot be fully understood without considering context.

Discourse analysis examines how figurative language operates within larger texts. It explores how such devices contribute to narrative coherence, thematic development, and ideological expression. Figurative elements help shape the overall structure and meaning of discourse.

Functions of Figurative Language in Artistic Expression

Figurative devices play a crucial role in enhancing the effectiveness of literary communication. Their functions include:

- Creating vivid and memorable imagery
- Expressing emotions and psychological states
- Revealing character traits and motivations
- Conveying cultural and symbolic meanings
- Enhancing aesthetic appeal
- Encouraging deeper interpretation

Through these functions, figurative language allows writers to communicate complex and nuanced ideas that cannot be fully expressed through literal language alone.

The Role of Figurative Language in Modern Linguistics

In contemporary research, figurative language is no longer viewed as merely decorative. Instead, it is considered fundamental to human cognition and communication. Scholars from various disciplines investigate how figurative expressions shape perception, influence thought, and reflect cultural values.

Modern studies integrate insights from linguistics, psychology, philosophy, and literary theory. This interdisciplinary approach highlights the dynamic and multifaceted nature of figurative language.

Furthermore, figurative expressions are not limited to literature. They are widely used in everyday communication, media discourse, political speech, and advertising. This demonstrates their universal importance in human interaction.

The theoretical foundation of figurative language encompasses multiple linguistic and interdisciplinary perspectives, including stylistics, semantics, cognitive science, and discourse analysis. Figurative devices are essential tools for expressing complex meanings, emotions, and cultural concepts.

Their study reveals that language is not merely a system of literal representation but a powerful medium for creativity and imagination. As linguistic research continues to evo lve, figurative language remains a key area for understanding how humans conceptualize and interpret the world around them.

REFERENCES:

1. Buranova M., Qodirova A. THE EFFECT OF GAMIFICATION ON STUDENTS'WILLINGNESS TO LEARN ENGLISH //Asian journal of technology and applied sciences. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 14-17.
2. Buranova M., Omontoshev H. THE ROLE OF GAMIFICATION IN ENHANCING STUDENTS'MOTIVATION FOR LEARNING ENGLISH //Asian journal of technology and applied sciences. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 22-25.
3. Buranova M. INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN THE PROCESS OF ENHANCING PRAGMATIC COMPETENCE IN B1-LEVEL STUDENTS //Asian journal of scientific research and innovations. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 369-371.
4. Botirovna S. Z. Contrast and Paradox in Oscar Wilde's Narrative Prose: Towards a Characterization of the Writer's Creative Method //JournalNX. – Т. 7. – №. 03. – С. 256-258.
5. Buranova L. U. THE USE OF DEFINITE PHONETIC FEATURES IN THE CULTURE OF THE TARGET LANGUAGE //Гуманитарный трактат. – 2017. – №. 20. – С. 38-39.
6. Buranova L. U. TEACHER'S ROLE IN THE READING CLASSROOM //Гуманитарный трактат. – 2017. – №. 8. – С. 49-51.
7. Sh B. P. Communicative language teaching: Theoretical foundations, pedagogical orientations and contemporary challenges //Spanish journal of Innovation and Integrity. – 2026. – Т. 51. – С. 44-48.
8. Mamatova O., Buranova M. THE INFLUENCE OF GAME-BASED LEARNING ON STUDENTS'MOTIVATION IN ENGLISH //Asian journal of technology and applied sciences. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 6-9.
9. Begbudieva P. ENHANCING LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY THROUGH INTEGRATED ASSESSMENT PRACTICES IN EFL CLASSROOMS //Asian journal of scientific research and innovations. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 2. – С. 309-314.
10. Begbudieva P. ENHANCING COMMUNICATIVE LANGUAGE TEACHING THROUGH AUTHENTIC MATERIALS: A PEDAGOGICAL FRAMEWORK FOR MIDDLE-STAGE LEARNERS //Asian journal of technology and applied sciences. – 2026. – Т. 1. – №. 1. – С. 94-97.